

DIVIDEND POLICY

BY :

AMIT MITRA

SUB: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

CHAPTER: DIVIDEND POLICY

SEMESTER: 6TH

What is Dividend?

- * “A dividend is a distribution to shareholders out of profit or reserve available for this purpose”.

Types of Dividend

On the basis of types of share

- * Equity Dividend
- * Preference Dividend

On the basis of mode of payment

- * Cash dividend
- * Stock dividend
- * Bond dividend
- * Property dividend
- * Composite dividend

ON THE BASIS OF TIME OF PAYMENT

- * INTERIM DIVIDEND
- * REGULAR DIVIDEND
- * SPECIAL DIVIDEND

What is Dividend Policy :

“Dividend policy determines the division of earnings between payments to shareholders and retained earnings”.

Dimensions of Dividend policy

Pay-out Ratio

Funds requirement

Liquidity

Access to external sources of financing

Shareholder preference

Difference in the cost of external equity and retained earnings

Control

Taxes



DIVIDEND THEORIES



Dividend Theories

RELEVANCE THEORIES



(i.e. which consider dividend decision to be relevant as it affects the value of the firm)

IRRELEVANCE THEORIES



(i.e. which consider dividend decision to be irrelevant as it does not affect the value of the firm)

* WALTER'S MODEL

* MODIGLIANI AND MILLER'S MODEL

* GORDON'S MODEL

* TRADITIONAL APPROACH



RELEVANCE THEORIES



WALTER'S MODEL

- * PROF. JAMES E WALTER ARGUED THAT IN THE LONG RUN THE SHARE PRICE REFLECT ONLY THE PRESENT VALUE OF EXPECTED DIVIDENDS. RETENTIONS INFLUENCE STOCK PRICE ONLY THROUGH THEIR EFFECT ON FUTURE DIVIDENDS. WALTER HAS FORMULATED THIS AND USED THE DIVIDEND TO OPTIMIZE THE WEALTH OF THE EQUITY SHAREHOLDERS.

ASSUMPTIONS OF WALTER'S MODEL

- * INTERNAL FINANCING
- * CONSTANT RETURN IN COST OF CAPITAL
- * 100% PAYOUT OR RETENTION
- * CONSTANT EPS AND DPS
- * INFINITE TIME

Formula of Walter's Model

$$* P = \frac{D + r/k(E-D)}{K}$$

Where,

P = Current market price of equity share

E = Earning per share

D = Dividend per share

(E-D) = Retained earning per share

r = Rate of return on firm's investment or internal rate of return

K = cost of equity capital

Illustration

- * According to Walter:-

- * When $r > k$, the firm should retain the maximum earning, that is, the firm should pay out minimum or no dividend. Then the value of equity share of the firm will be maximum.

- * Growth firm ($r > k$):

$$r=15\% \quad k=10\% \quad E=5$$

$$\text{If } D=5 \text{ then } p = \frac{5+0.15(0)}{0.10} = \text{Rs. } 50$$

$$\text{If } D=2 \text{ then } p = \frac{2+0.15(3)}{0.10} = \text{Rs. } 65$$

Normal firm($r=k$)

- * When $r=k$, the firm can remain indifferent regarding dividend pay-out decision. In this case value of equity share of the firm remain unchanged whatever may be the dividend pay-out ratio.

$$r=10\% \quad k=10\% \quad E=5$$

$$\text{If } D=5 \text{ then } p = \frac{5+0.10(0)}{0.10} = \text{Rs. } 50$$

$$\text{If } D=2 \text{ then } p = \frac{2+0.10(3)}{0.10} = \text{Rs. } 50$$

Declining Firm ($r < k$)

- * When $r < k$, the firm should pay out all its profit to the shareholders as dividend without creating any reserve. Then only the value of equity share will be maximum.

$$r=10\% \quad k=15\% \quad E=5$$

$$\text{If } D=5 \text{ then } p = \frac{5+0.10(0)}{0.15} = \text{Rs. } 50$$

$$\text{If } D=2 \text{ then } p = \frac{2+0.10(3)}{0.15} = \text{Rs. } 26.67$$

EFFECT OF DIVIDEND POLICY ON VALUE OF SHARE

Case	If dividend payout ratio increases	If dividend payout ratio decreases
1. In case of growing firm i.e where $r > k$	Market value of share decreases	Market value of share increases
2. In case of declining firm i.e where $r < k$	Market value of share increases	Market value of share decreases
3. In case of normal firm i.e where $r = k$	No changes in value of share	No changes in value of share

Criticisms of Walter's Model

- * No external financing
- * Firm's internal rate of return does not always remain constant. In fact, r decreases as more and more investment is made.
- * Firm's cost of capital does not always remain constant. In fact k changes directly with the firm's risk.

Gordon's Model

- * According to prof. Gordon, dividend policy almost always affects the value of the firm. He showed how dividend policy can be used to maximize the wealth of the shareholders.
- * The main proposition of the model is that the value of a share reflects the value of the future dividends accruing to that share. Hence the dividend payment and its growth are relevant in valuation of shares.
- * The model holds that the share's market price is equal to the sum of share's discounted future dividend payment.

Assumptions

- * All equity firm
- * No external financing
- * Constant returns
- * Constant cost of capital
- * Perpetual earnings
- * No taxes
- * Constant retention
- * Cost of capital is greater than growth rate($k > br = g$)

Formula of Gordon's Model

$$* P = \frac{E(1-b)}{K-br}$$

Where, P = Price per share

E = Earning per share

r = rate of return on investment

k = cost of capital

b = % of retained earnings

= retention ratio

= Retained earnings/Total earnings

br = g = growth rate of the firm

Illustration

* ***Growth firm ($r > k$)***

$$r=20\% \quad k=15\% \quad E= \text{Rs. } 4$$

$$\text{If } b=0.25 \text{ then } P= \frac{4(0.75)}{0.15-(0.25)(0.20)} = \text{Rs. } 30$$

$$\text{If } b=0.50 \text{ then } p= \frac{4(0.50)}{0.15-(0.5)(0.20)} = \text{Rs. } 40$$

Illustration

* ***Normal firm (r=k)***

$$r=15\% \quad k=15\% \quad E= \text{Rs. } 4$$

$$\text{If } b=0.25 \text{ then } P= \frac{4(0.75)}{0.15-(0.25)(0.15)} = \text{Rs. } 26.67$$

$$\text{If } b=0.50 \text{ then } p= \frac{4(0.50)}{0.15-(0.5)(0.15)} = \text{Rs. } 26.67$$

Illustration

* **Declining firm ($r < k$):**

$$r=10\% \quad k=15\% \quad E= \text{Rs. } 4$$

$$\text{If } b=0.25 \text{ then } P= \frac{4(0.75)}{0.15-(0.25)(0.10)} = \text{Rs. } 24$$

$$\text{If } b=0.50 \text{ then } p= \frac{4(0.50)}{0.15-(0.5)(0.10)} = \text{Rs. } 20$$

CRITICISMS OF GORDON'S MODEL

- * AS THE ASSUMPTIONS OF WALTER'S MODEL AND GORDON'S MODEL ARE SAME SO THE GORDON'S MODEL SUFFERS FROM THE SAME LIMITATIONS AS THE WALTER'S MODEL.



IRRELEVANCE THEORIES



Modigliani-Miller Hypothesis for Dividend policy:-

- * *M-M Hypothesis provides the irrelevant concept of dividend in comprehensive manner. According to them, the dividend policy of a firm does not have any effect on the price of share of a firm, i.e., it does not affect the shareholders wealth.*

Assumptions:-

- * Existence of perfect capital market.
- * Taxes do not exist.
- * Investors behave rationally.
- * Investment policy of the firm does not change.
- * Risk and uncertainty does not exist.

Formula of M-M approach

According to M-M hypothesis:-

$$P_0 = \frac{(P_1 + D_1)}{(1 + k)}$$

Where, P_0 = market price per share at time 'zero'

P_1 = market price per share at time 'one'

D_1 = dividend per share at time 'one'

k = cost of capital or discounting rate.

Where no new financing exist, the value of the firm (V) will be as follows:-

$$V = np = n \frac{(P_1 + D_1)}{(1 + k)}$$

- * Computation of total amount of finance required for financing a new project, i.e., new financing:-
- * Amount required = $I - (y - nD_1)$
- * Where, I = Total Investment
- * y = Total earnings
- * D_1 = Dividend per share at the one year end
- * n = number of shares.

Formula of M-M approach

* Number of shares required to be issued for the new investment:-

* $n = \frac{\text{Amount required for new investment}}{\text{Market price per share at the end of the year.}}$

* $= \frac{I - (y - nD_1)}{P_1}$

* Computation of the “Total Value of the firm” at the end of the year:-

* $V = \frac{(n + m) P_1 - I + y}{(1 + k)}$

Where, V = Value of the firm

n= Number of shares

m = number of shares newly issued

P₁ = market price per share at the end of the year

I = Total investment

y = total earnings

k = cost of capital.

Criticism of M-M Model

- * No perfect capital market
- * Existence of transaction cost
- * Existence of floatation cost
- * Lack of relevant information
- * Different rates of taxes
- * No fixed investment policy
- * Investor's desire to obtain current income

Traditional approach

- a) This theory regards dividend decision merely as a part of financing decision because
 - i) The earning available may be retained in the business for re-investment
 - ii) Or if the funds are not required in the business they may be distributed as dividends.
- b) Thus the decision to pay the dividends or retain the earnings may be taken as a residual decision.
- c) This theory assumes that the investors do not differentiate between dividends and retentions by the firm.
- d) Thus , a firm should retain the earnings if it has profitable investment opportunities otherwise it should pay than as dividends.

Formula of Traditional approach

* $P = m (D + E/3)$

Where, P = Market price per share

m = multiplier

D = Dividend per share

E = Earning per share

Synopsis

- * Dividend is the part of profit paid to shareholders.
- * Firm decide, depending on the profit, the percentage of paying dividend.
- * Walter and Gordon says that a dividend decision affects the valuation of the firm.
- * While the traditional approach and MM's approach says that value of the firm is irrelevant to dividend we pay.



THANK YOU

